Ratio Relationships between $\pi$, the Fine Structure Constant and the Frequency Equivalents of an Electron, the Bohr Radius, the Ionization Energy of Hydrogen, and the Classical Electron Radius
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Abstract: This paper demonstrates and analyzes the multiple and complicated ratio inter-relationships among $\pi$, $\alpha$, the frequency equivalents of an electron, $v_e$; the Bohr radius, $v_0$; the ionization energy of hydrogen or the Rydberg constant, $R$; and the classical electron radius, $v_r$. These relationships are partially known, but many are not yet fully recognized. This paper presents all of them as a unified system, demonstrating an unexpected inter-relationship among the quantum properties of hydrogen that can be used to calculate and understand relationships between fundamental constants. These ratios are of logical origin, but are quite complicated and not intuitive. These relationships stem from geometry and the nature of the components of hydrogen: for example, they relate a transition of a mass/energy to a circumference distance. $\alpha$ is related to six different frequency equivalent ratios: the product of 4$\pi$ and $v_0$ divided by $v_e$; the square root of 2 times $v_0$ divided by $v_e$; the product of $2\pi$ and $v_e$ divided by $v_0$; the square root of the ratio of $v_0$ divided by $v_r$; and the cube root of 4$\pi$ times $v_0$ divided by $v_r$. $\pi$ is also related to multiple ratios of $\alpha$ and the hydrogen frequency equivalents from the previous relationships. 8$\pi^2$ is equal to the ratio of $v_0$ squared divided by the product of $v_r$ and $v_e$. The combination products of $\alpha$, 2, and 2$\pi$ are the scaling ratios of the transformations between a mass/energy and a distance for all of the four hydrogen constants. If any three of the six constants are known, then the other three can be derived, demonstrating the intricate relationship of these constants. These relationships also must hold for effective $\alpha$ (running $\alpha$) as well. In part, they explain its origin and why $\alpha$ must change in certain settings. Therefore these relationships extend to high energy physics, as well as adding new insights to effective $\alpha$.
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Introduction

It is common to encounter inter-relationships between fundamental constants and $\pi$. Another physical ratio dimensionless constant, the fine structure constant, $\alpha^2$, is very commonly encountered in many different physical settings. This paper explores the ratio inter-relationships of these two constants and the quantum properties of hydrogen. Since $\alpha$ and $\pi$ are both dimensionless number ratios, all the evaluated properties of hydrogen are converted to frequency equivalents and evaluated as dimensionless ratios.$^6$–$^8$

The entities are evaluated as frequency equivalent ratios and include an electron, $v_e$; the Bohr radius, $v_{a0}^2$; and the ionization energy of hydrogen or the Rydberg constant, $R$; $v_R$; and the classical electron radius, $v_{e0}$. It will be shown that these frequency equivalents related to the components defining hydrogen are directly related to $\pi$ and $\alpha$. Some of these relationships represent manifestations of classic Euclidean geometric, but they are within a quantum system across physical constant units of energy/mass and distance. Though complicated and not intuitive, these relationships are made more readily apparent by using one unit, Hz. The relationships are important because they demonstrate the inherent fundamental inter-connections between the properties of hydrogen and many other entities.$^9$–$^{10}$ The relationships must also be fulfilled for effective $\alpha$, or running $\alpha$, so they extend beyond hydrogen into high energy physics as well.$^1$–$^3$ These findings offer new insights into the nature and origin of effective $\alpha$.

Methods and Results

All of the fundamental constants are converted to frequency equivalents.$^6$–$^8$ The masses are converted by multiplying by $c^2$ (speed of light squared) then dividing by $h$ (the Planck constant). The distances are converted by dividing the wavelength into $c$. Energies are converted by dividing by $h$. $v_e$ equals 1.2355899 $\times 10^{20}$ Hz, $v_{a0}$ equals 5.6652564 $\times 10^{18}$ Hz, and $v_R$ equals 3.28984196 $\times 10^{15}$ Hz. The classical electron radius, $v_{e0}$ equals 1.0638709 $\times 10^{23}$ Hz. All of the data for the fundamental constants are taken from http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Constants/.

Equation (1) is the classic Coulomb’s law, where $F$ equals the force, $k$ is Coulomb’s constant, and $e^2$ is the square of the unit charge of an electron, divided by the distance separating the charges squared, $\lambda^2$. In this paper the binding energy of the electron in hydrogen is used as the unified quantum phenomenon. Equation (2) evaluates the energy of a system rather than the force. A different representation of Coulomb’s constant, $k$, is utilized with components of the speed of light squared, $c^2$, and the magnetic constant, $\mu$. In Equation (3) both sides of Equation (2) are divided by Planck’s constant, $h$, which converts the energies into frequency equivalents. In this case the frequency equivalent of the electron binding energy of hydrogen, $v_R$, is shown. $v_R$ is related to the Rydberg constant, $R$. Rearranging the other components converts the Bohr radius, $\lambda_{a0}$, to $v_{a0}$, as seen in Equation (4).

$$F = \frac{ke^2}{\lambda^2} \quad (1)$$

$$E_F = \frac{c^2 \mu_0 e^2}{4\pi 2\lambda_{a0}} \quad (2)$$

$$E_R = \frac{c \mu_0 e^2}{h} \times \frac{1}{8\pi \lambda_{a0}} \quad (3)$$

$$v_R = \frac{c \mu_0 e^2}{h} \times \frac{1}{8\pi v_{a0}} \quad (4)$$

Equation (5) demonstrates that the dimensionless product of $c$, $\mu_0$, and $e^2$, divided by $h$ is equal to $2\alpha$.

$$2\alpha = \frac{c \mu_0 e^2}{h} \quad (5)$$

Substituting back into equation (4) leads to equation (6), where $\alpha$ is related to $4\pi$ times $v_R$, divided by $v_{a0}$. Equation (7) equates $\pi$ to $v_{a0}$ times $\alpha$ divided by 4 times $v_R$.

$$\alpha = \frac{4\pi v_R}{v_{a0}} \quad (6)$$

$$\pi = \frac{v_{a0} \alpha}{4v_R} \quad (7)$$

It is known that the ionization energy of hydrogen is conceptually related to the change in the velocity of an electron from the annihilation velocity of $c$ to velocity $\alpha c$. Equation (8). Dividing the energies on both sides of the equation by $h$ converts this relationship to frequency equivalents, Equation (9). Equations (10 and 11) solve for $\alpha$. There is no $\pi$ in this Equation. $\alpha^2$ divided by 2.
equals the ratio of \( v_R \) divided by \( v_e \). Rearranging equation (10) solves for \( v_R \), Equation (12). Substituting this into equation (7) demonstrates that \( \alpha \) equals \( \frac{v_{a0}}{v_e} \) divided by the product of \( 2\pi \) and \( v_e \), Equation (13). \( \pi \) is solved for in Equation (14), \( v_{a0} \) divided by \( 2 \times \pi \times v_e \), Equation (15).

\[
E_R = \frac{m_e c^2 \alpha^2}{2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{E_R}{\hbar} = \frac{v_R}{h} = \frac{m_e c^2 \alpha^2}{2} = v_e \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\frac{\alpha^2}{2} = \frac{v_R}{v_e} = \frac{v_{a0}}{v_e} \cdot \frac{v_R}{v_{a0}} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2v_R}{v_e}} \tag{11}
\]

\[
v_R = \frac{v_e \alpha^2}{2} \tag{12}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{v_{a0}}{2\pi v_e} \tag{13}
\]

\[
\pi = \frac{v_{a0}}{2\pi v_e} \tag{14}
\]

In Equation (19), \( \alpha \) equals the product of \( 2\pi \) times \( v_e \) divided by \( v_{re} \). Equation (20) rearranges the components and solves for \( v_{re} \), which equals \( 2 \times \pi \) times \( v_e \) divided by \( \alpha \). Equation (21) solves for \( \pi \), \( \alpha \) times \( v_{re} \) divided by \( 2 \times v_e \).

\[
E_e = \frac{c^2 \mu_0 e^2}{4\pi} \frac{v_e}{r_e} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\frac{E_e}{\hbar} = \frac{c \mu_0 e^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{r_e} = v_e = \frac{\alpha v_{re}}{2\pi} \tag{18}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\pi v_e}{v_{re}} \tag{19}
\]

\[
v_{re} = \frac{2\pi v_e}{\alpha} \tag{20}
\]

Equations (22) are known relationships of \( r_e \) associated with \( a_0 \) and the Compton radius of the electron, \( \lambda_e \). Dividing both sides by \( c \) converts them to frequency equivalents. The relationships are related to the square of \( \alpha \) as shown in Equation (23), \( v_{a0} \) divided by \( v_{re} \). There is no 2 in the relationship with \( v_{re} \) as in Equation (24) since this represents a potential energy compared to Equation (10).

\[
r_e = \frac{\alpha \lambda_e}{2\pi} = \alpha^2 a_0 \tag{22}
\]

\[
\frac{r_e}{c} = \frac{\alpha^2 a_0}{c} = \frac{1}{v_{re}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{v_{a0}} \tag{23}
\]

\[
\alpha^2 = \frac{v_{a0}}{v_{re}} \frac{2v_R}{v_e} \tag{24}
\]

Equation (25) expresses the relationship of the ratio of the smallest and largest hydrogen components of this series from \( v_R \) to \( v_{re} \). In this case it is related to \( \alpha^2 \) divided by \( 4\pi \). Equation (26) solves for \( \alpha \), and Equation (27) solves for \( \pi \).

\[
\frac{\alpha^3}{4\pi} = \frac{v_R}{v_{re}} \tag{25}
\]

The ratio of \( v_{a0} \) divided by \( v_e \), divided by the ratio of \( v_R \) divided by \( v_{a0} \), cancels out the \( \alpha \) factors, leaving only \( 8\pi^2 \), Equation (15). This is equal to \( v_{a0} \) squared divided by the product of \( v_e \) and \( v_R \), Equation (15). Equation (16) solves for \( \pi \) solely from quantum constants of hydrogen and the integer 2.

\[
8\pi^2 = \frac{v_{a0}^2}{v_e v_R} \tag{15}
\]

\[
\pi = \frac{v_{a0}}{2\sqrt{2v_e v_R}} \tag{16}
\]

\( r_e \) is related to the distance separating two unit charges with a potential annihilation energy equal to the mass of an electron, Equation (17). This is in a similar form as Equation (2). Equation (18) divides both sides by \( h \), converting the values to frequency equivalents.

Equations (22) are known relationships of \( r_e \) associated with \( a_0 \) and the Compton radius of the electron, \( \lambda_e \). Dividing both sides by \( c \) converts them to frequency equivalents. The relationships are related to the square of \( \alpha \) as shown in Equation (23), \( v_{a0} \) divided by \( v_{re} \). There is no 2 in the relationship with \( v_{re} \) as in Equation (24) since this represents a potential energy compared to Equation (10).
\[ \alpha = \frac{4\pi v_R}{v_{re}} \]  
(26)

\[ \pi = \frac{\alpha^3 v_{re}}{4v_R} \]  
(27)

Figure 1 plots these relationships as log values, which demonstrates them more clearly. Many of the possible sums and differences of the log values of 2, 2\(\pi\), and \(\alpha\) exist as ratios of the actual physical components of hydrogen.

**Discussion**

\(\pi\), a ubiquitous constant in physics, is frequently incorporated into the definition of constants. In this specific system the \(\pi\) relationships are logical, but not intuitive since they are so convoluted. \(\alpha\) can be conceptually viewed as the change in the velocity of the electron from the annihilation speed of \(c\) to \(\alpha c\), Equation (8). This concept can also be viewed as a momentum coupling constant, where the square of the velocity of the mass is related to the energy. Therefore the ratio of \(v_R\) and \(v_e\) is related to \(\alpha^2\), Equation (10). This energy is then related to the ionization energy of hydrogen. The factor 2 is related to that fact that this is not a potential energy which is related to the definition of \(\alpha\) as a potential energy. The ionization energy is similar to a kinetic energy, in contrast to an annihilation energy.

The 2\(\pi\) factor is related to the transformation of a radius, \(a_0\), to an orbital circumference, which effectively elongates the wavelength equivalent and decreases it energy/frequency equivalent. This change decreases the frequency equivalent value by dividing by 2\(\pi\) into the radius frequency equivalent. This 2\(\pi\) factor is related to the ratio between the \(a_0\) and a circular orbit of the electron, Equation (13). These two factors account for 4\(\pi\) of the 8\(\pi^2\) ratio change in Equation (15).

The second 2\(\pi\) factor associated with the total 8\(\pi^2\) ratio arises from the fact that the total ratio relationship of \(v_R\) and \(v_e\) is defined by Equation (10). Figure 1 demonstrates that any added factor related to the ratio of \(v_R\) and \(v_{a0}\) must be cancelled out by the ratio relationship of \(v_{a0}\) and \(v_e\). The ratio of these two ratios, Equation (15), leads to the square of 2\(\pi\), Figure 1. The \(\alpha\) factors mathematically cancel.

Equation (16) derives \(\pi\) solely from the frequency equivalents of quantum properties of hydrogen and integers. This derivation does not describe the actual geometry of hydrogen in a classic Euclidian geometric sense, but is the result of dividing the ratios between \(v_{a0}\) and \(v_e\) by \(v_R\) and \(v_{a0}\). Figure 1. In this specific and unique situation, three fundamental quantum constant values of identical units are all related to just integers and \(\pi\), an unusual phenomenon that combines raw quantum physical constants and geometric ones.

\(r_e\) is also defined by these same hydrogen factors, and therefore is also related to integers, \(\alpha\), and \(\pi\). Logically, this is very similar to the relationship between \(v_R\) and \(v_{a0}\) in Equations (2 and 17). However, \(r_e\) is related to a potential energy, which accounts for the difference from the relationship of \(v_R\) and \(v_{a0}\).

Figure 1 demonstrates the relative values of these scaling ratios in log format. It is clear that many of the different possible sums of log \(\alpha\), log 2, log 1/2, log 2\(\pi\), and log 1/2\(\pi\) are associated with these four fundamental constants and their inter-relationships. \(\alpha^2\) is related to the spread from \(v_R\) to \(v_e\), or \(v_{a0}\) to \(v_e\), and \(\alpha^3\) is related to the maximum ratio transition from \(v_R\) to \(v_{a0}\). This series alternates between constants related to mass/energy and distance. Each transition is scaled.
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by a factor of \( \alpha \) in combination with 2 or \( 2\pi \). Each \( \alpha \) transition can be viewed as a change in velocity with a change in momentum. These transitions are from energy to distance. Distance times a frequency is a velocity. A transition from a mass/energy, \( v_a \), to mass/energy, \( v_{\pi} \), or from distance, \( v_{\alpha \pi} \), to distance, \( v_{r\pi} \), is not associated with a \( \pi \) factor, but is associated with \( \alpha^2 \) and integers, since there is no transition from a radius to a circumference.

By looking at electron impedances, Cameron\(^9\) found similar though not identical scaling relationships of \( \alpha \) and the properties of hydrogen. The scaling separations were evaluated in a logarithmic form as well, but were less exact than described in this paper. MacGregor’s paper\(^10\) is another example where \( \alpha \) represents a scaling factor crossing a broad range of physical settings. In this case values near \( \alpha \) are the scaling factors between the ratios of the relative lifetimes of many of the metastable elementary particles. For example, \( \alpha^4 \) is the scaling between the neutron and muon, the pion\(^+ \) to pion\(^0 \), and between many of the unpaired mesons and baryons to the paired mesons or baryons. Each different group is also spaced by approximately \( \alpha \). These patterns are quite similar to those described in this work, where a series of entities are spaced by powers of \( \alpha \). Therefore these observations have many potential implications beyond hydrogen in isolation.

What we see is a very complicated, but exact, “dance” between all six of these constants. If only three of the physical constants are known, it is possible to derive all six. It is not typical to consider calculating \( \alpha \) from many of these properties, but it is perfectly valid. Equation (5) demonstrates that many other physical constants are directly related to this system as well.

The ratio inter-relationships between all of these factors must also be fulfilled for effective \( \alpha \) (running \( \alpha \)) as well. In fact, this group of ratios partially explains why there is an effective \( \alpha \) at all. The only mathematical means to maintain all of these convoluted ratio relationships, Equations (6, 11, 13, 15, 19, 24 and 26), is for \( \alpha \) to change. \( \pi \) is a constant that should not conceptually change, but all of the other factors could. The Bohr radius can be viewed as the minimum “quantum equilibrium” separation of two unit opposite changes in hydrogen. The larger quantum radii possibilities in hydrogen represent the Rydberg series, and \( \alpha \) does not change. \( a_o \) can be viewed as the “natural” distance that represents a stable quantum minimum. In hydrogen, a charge distance separation less than the Bohr radius of unit charges is not at a “stable” or a possible classic quantum radius. This unusual setting is secondarily associated with a change in \( \alpha \). In this hydrogen example, the mass of the electron can be viewed as a constant as well. The radius would be less than the Bohr radius, and therefore would have a higher value for the frequency equivalent than \( v_{\alpha \pi} \). To maintain the \( 8\pi^2 \) relationship of Equation (15), the other factor that must change is the frequency equivalent of the energy. If the inter-relationships were related to the simple ratio values of any two factors alone, then running \( \alpha \) would not have to exist. In Equation (15), \( v_{\pi \pi} \) is squared. In this theoretical representative case, any change of \( v_{\alpha \pi} \) would have to be balanced with the square of that change in \( v_{\pi \pi} \). This “forces” the value to change to maintain all of the other scaling relationships. Therefore running \( \alpha \) is necessary to fulfill all of these inter-relationships simultaneously and continuously.

**Conclusion**

Hydrogen is the simplest atom and manifests the most basic inter-relationships of many fundamental physical constants. This paper demonstrates the very complicated, yet logical, inter-relationships of these hydrogen properties. Classic Euclidian geometry and many quantum constants are interwoven. Equation (15) relating \( \pi \) and hydrogen is significant, since it demonstrates that the quantum properties of mass, distance, and energy are all linked to \( \pi \). The factors \( \pi \) and \( \alpha \) demonstrate that there is a deep fundamental geometric and coupling constant relationship that is unified across these different physical entities and their properties. Evaluating them in a common unit allows for this observation, which would otherwise be obscured.
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